

DOI: 10.15825/1995-1191-2025-3-146-159

EXTRACELLULAR MATRIX BIOMIMETICS FOR PANCREATIC TISSUE ENGINEERING

A.S. Ponomareva¹, N.V. Baranova¹, Yu.B. Basok¹, V.I. Sevastianov^{1, 2}

¹ Shumakov National Medical Research Center of Transplantology and Artificial Organs, Moscow, Russian Federation

² Institute of Biomedical Research and Technology, Moscow, Russian Federation

Isolated islet transplantation offers a safer and less invasive alternative to whole pancreas transplantation for patients with complicated type 1 diabetes mellitus. However, the procedure faces significant challenges, including the loss of vascularization, innervation, and extracellular matrix (ECM) support. Additionally, factors such as hypoxia, oxidative stress, inflammatory responses, and the cytotoxic effects of immunosuppressive therapy compromise islet viability significantly and limit long-term graft function. Tissue engineering and regenerative medicine strategies aim to address these challenges. A central objective is the development of biocompatible, biomimetic ECM scaffolds (frameworks, carriers, or matrices) that can provide both mechanical support and a suitable microenvironment for islet cells *in vitro* and *in vivo*. This review aims to systematize current data on the use of biomimetic ECMs in the creation of stable, tissue-engineered pancreatic constructs.

Keywords: *diabetes mellitus, pancreas, islets of Langerhans, extracellular matrix, biomimetics, scaffold, biomaterials.*

INTRODUCTION

Type I diabetes mellitus (T1D) is characterized by autoimmune destruction of insulin-producing pancreatic beta cells, resulting in absolute insulin deficiency and development of diabetic complications such as angiopathy, retinopathy, nephropathy, and neuropathy [1, 2]. In patients with high susceptibility to severe hypoglycemia and poor glycemic control, transplantation of functionally active pancreatic islets using the Edmonton protocol has emerged as a modern therapeutic option. This approach typically requires a substantial islet mass, often obtained from multiple donors [3]. Pancreatic islet transplantation represents a safer and less invasive alternative to whole-organ transplantation [4, 5], enabling the achievement of stable euglycemia, lowering the risk of secondary diabetic complications, and improving quality of life compared with conventional insulin therapy [6–8].

Despite advances in clinical islet transplantation, its widespread application remains limited by the shortage of donor organs and decline in islet viability at all stages of graft isolation, preparation, and engraftment. The reduction in functional activity is primarily associated with impaired blood supply, loss of innervation and contact with the extracellular matrix (ECM), oxidative stress, hypoxia, inflammatory responses, and the toxic effects of immunosuppressants [9]. At the same time, there is currently no alternative source of insulin-producing cells suitable for clinical use other than islets. Although induced pluripotent stem cells are under intensive research, their clinical translation is hindered by risks such as te-

ratoma formation and other unpredictable consequences related mainly to genetic modifications. Another major challenge is preserving the feedback mechanisms that regulate glucose homeostasis, which are mediated by the coordinated activity of islet cell types: alpha cells (secreting glucagon), beta cells (secreting insulin), delta cells (secreting somatostatin), and minor populations producing pancreatic polypeptide and ghrelin [10, 11]. The main advantage of islet transplantation over insulin-producing cells of other origins is the retention of intra-islet paracrine interactions between beta cells and other endocrine cell types [12].

Recent studies provide encouraging evidence for the potential of tissue engineering and regenerative medicine technologies to ensure long-term preservation of the viability and functional activity of human pancreatic islets after transplantation [13]. Of particular interest is the development of tissue-engineered pancreatic constructs (TEPCs), which are based on insulin-producing cells immobilized within a biocompatible scaffold. Such scaffolds not only provide mechanical support but also prolongs cell viability and function. The creation and application of these constructs may offer an alternative therapeutic strategy for diabetes mellitus and serve as a valuable platform for the development and preclinical testing of new drugs.

Biocompatible scaffolds are also used for encapsulation of islet cells, an effective strategy to protect transplants from immune rejection [14]. This encapsulation technology involves placing insulin-producing cells

within semi-permeable biomaterials, where the defined pore size of the capsule membrane allows diffusion of nutrients and secreted insulin while blocking immune cells and large molecules such as immunoglobulins. Successful encapsulation is expected to eliminate the need for lifelong immunosuppression. The use of biocompatible materials for encapsulation has resulted in increased survival and functional activity of islet grafts [15, 16].

To further improve the secretory function of transplanted islets, co-encapsulation approaches have been explored, incorporating ECM molecules or supportive cells such as mesenchymal stromal cells. These cells exert beneficial paracrine and immunoregulatory effects [12, 17]. Some of the developed immunosuppressive devices, such as PEC-Encap (ViaCyte, Inc., USA), β Air (BetaO2 Technologies Ltd., Israel), and the Cell Housing Device (Vertex Pharmaceuticals, USA), have already undergone clinical trials [14].

Despite these advances, translation of encapsulation technology into routine clinical practice faces several critical challenges. Among them are insufficient biocompatibility of capsules that may trigger inflammatory responses and foreign-body reactions; fibrotic overgrowth around implanted capsules; and incomplete vascularization of surrounding tissues, leading to cell hypoxia [16].

The key tasks in the development of TEPCs are to establish optimal conditions for obtaining and culturing enough insulin-producing cells and to identify suitable scaffolds (frames, matrices, carriers) capable of mimicking the structure and composition of the native ECM. Such scaffolds should provide the most favorable microenvironment for sustaining the functional activity of the transplanted cells [18].

DEVELOPMENT OF SCAFFOLDS FOR TISSUE-ENGINEERED CONSTRUCTS

Scaffolds used in tissue engineering must have physical, mechanical, and biological properties that support the survival and functionality of specific cell types both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Investigations into the composition and organization of native tissue ECM are critical, as they reveal the specific structural and biochemical characteristics that should guide the selection of scaffold materials.

ECM is a complex and dynamic network of macromolecules synthesized by cells, essential for maintaining tissue integrity and providing rigidity, elasticity, and resilience [15]. The ECM supports tissue-specific cell homeostasis, phenotype, and function. Its components interact with growth factors and cell surface receptors to regulate key cellular processes, including proliferation, differentiation, morphology, gene expression, intracellular signaling, adhesion, migration, secretory activity, and survival [19].

Recent studies in mice and pigs have identified 12 distinct ECM proteins in the pancreas, including collagens

I, III, IV, V, and VI, laminin, elastin, fibronectin, fibrillin, glycosaminoglycans (GAGs), among others [18, 20–23]. The three-dimensional (3D) architecture of the pancreatic ECM determines the topographical location of endocrine cells, which influences the viability and secretory function of the islets [12].

When selecting a scaffold, it is essential to account for the multicomponent, biochemically complex composition of the ECM, its structural specificity, and tissue-specific functions. For the creation of TEPCs, bioresorbable scaffolds designed to mimic the properties of native ECM, the so-called ECM mimetics, are used. These scaffolds are developed from natural and synthetic materials, as well as their composites [12, 15, 18]. Critically, scaffold materials must provide controlled 3D structural parameters, including porosity, pore size, and surface roughness, in order to mimic the native cellular niche [15, 24–26].

Examples of biomaterials applied in liver tissue engineering are presented in Table 1.

Various types of scaffolds are used in tissue engineering, including films, membranes, sponges, gels, cryogels, fibrous materials produced by electrospinning, as well as decellularized tissues and organs [26].

Simpler two-dimensional scaffolds can reproduce certain aspects of cell–matrix interactions and help modulate cell behavior and signaling. However, they may also alter the normal cell phenotype compared to more complex 3D structures. In contrast, porous 3D scaffolds more closely simulate the native tissue microenvironment, enabling higher cell density, improved nutrient and oxygen diffusion, thereby prolonging cell survival and enhancing secretory capacity [18, 25, 83].

In a study by Buitinga et al., three methods for fabricating scaffolds with microcavities and pore diameters not exceeding 40 μ m were compared: leaching, casting, and laser drilling. The evaluation focused on pore size and geometry, reproducibility of the method, and the shape and stability of the resulting scaffold. In a T1D

Table 1
Biomaterials used for pancreatic tissue engineering

Natural	
Alginate	[27–32]
Collagen	[33–42]
Chitosan	[35, 38, 43]
Fibrin	[44–48]
Gelatin	[43, 49, 50]
Silk	[51–53]
Decellularized tissues	[13, 54–64]
Synthetic	
Polyethylene glycol	[65–73]
Polycaprolactone	[74–76]
Polyglycolic acid	[77–79]
Poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid)	[51, 80–82]

mouse model, scaffolds produced by laser drilling proved most effective, ensuring the retention and engraftment of islets when implanted into the white adipose tissue of the epididymis. Transplantation of 300 islets using the scaffold restored normoglycemia in 75% of diabetic mice, whereas transplantation of the same number of islets without a scaffold achieved stable glucose control in only 28.5% of animals [84].

A promising strategy for creating macroporous scaffolds with interconnected pore networks – meeting the structural requirements for cell-based technologies and tissue engineering – is cryogenic structuring of polymer systems [85–87]. For example, cryogenically structured biopolymer substrates based on spongy agarose cryogels modified with gelatin have shown high biocompatibility and supported the long-term viability and insulin-secreting activity of mouse islet cell lines *in vitro* [88, 89].

It is worth noting that scaffold modification with ECM components not only provides structural and mechanical support for islets, thereby preserving their viability and insulin-secreting function, but also serves as a reservoir of growth factors, cytokines, and antioxidants [19]. Furthermore, incorporating biochemical cues that promote rapid vascularization, such as vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), into the scaffold prolongs the functional lifespan of the islet transplant [90].

SCAFFOLDS MADE OF SYNTHETIC MATERIALS

Synthetic materials such as polyethylene glycol, polycaprolactone, polylactic acid, polyglycolic acid, and their copolymers are widely applied in tissue engineering owing to their adjustable physicochemical properties. These materials allow precise control and reproducibility of scaffold characteristics, including elasticity, stiffness, porosity, biodegradability, and ease of chemical modification [14, 15, 91]. Both single-polymer systems and multi-component composites can be processed into scaffolds with predetermined architectures, for example, using 3D printing and electrospinning.

In an experimental study, Chun et al. demonstrated that islets immobilized on a fibrous scaffold made of polyglycolic acid exhibited a four-fold increase in insulin secretion index and a two-fold increase in cell survival compared with islets cultured without a scaffold over 15 days [77].

In a comparative study, Daoud et al. cultured equal numbers of human islets for 10 days under different conditions: on microscaffolds composed of a polylactic–polyglycolic acid copolymer modified with ECM proteins (type I collagen, type IV collagen, and fibronectin); in a gel containing the same ECM proteins; in a gel containing only type I collagen; and in suspension culture without additives as a control. The highest glucose stimulation index, comparable to that of freshly isolated islets, was observed when islets were immobilized on microscaffolds. This effect was attributed to the com-

bined influence of mechanical support, presence of ECM components, and enhanced diffusion and cell–cell interactions afforded by the interconnected pore system of the scaffold [81].

Knobeloch et al. further explored the potential of a polyethylene glycol–based injectable hydrogel as an encapsulation material. Human islets cultured in this hydrogel for 6 days maintained their shape and structural integrity, both of which are crucial for functional performance. Importantly, basal and glucose-stimulated insulin secretion were significantly higher in hydrogel-encapsulated islets compared to those cultured in suspension [73].

Despite examples of successful use of synthetic scaffolds in tissue engineering, their inherent limitations, such as hydrophobicity, absence of cell adhesion sites, and lack of cell recognition signals, often necessitate pre-modification with angiogenic factors or ECM components.

SCAFFOLDS FROM NATURAL MATERIALS

Natural materials such as polysaccharides (chitosan, alginate, hyaluronic acid) and proteins (collagen, fibrin, silk), are also widely employed in the creation of TEPCs. These materials offer several advantages, such as low toxicity, biocompatibility, and biodegradability. Moreover, scaffolds derived from natural sources contain bioactive components that facilitate stronger interactions with insulin-producing cells, thereby enhancing the functionality of the formed TEPC. However, their use is not without limitations, which include temperature sensitivity, potential immunogenicity, and heterogeneity that may vary depending on the source material.

Alginate, a natural and biocompatible polysaccharide with mild gel-forming properties, is widely used as a functional biomaterial for the production of injectable hydrogels designed for encapsulating islets [32].

Collagen, the most abundant protein in mammals, plays a central role in providing structural support to tissues, mediating intercellular contacts, and regulating cell behavior, including the function of islet cells [15, 19]. Studies have shown that isolated islets incubated with collagen-containing scaffolds retain their integrity, viability, and secretory function for longer periods compared to islets cultured in suspension.

In particular, Pinkse et al. reported that rat pancreatic islets cultured in standard Petri dishes rapidly underwent structural degradation, with fewer than 10% remaining viable after 48 hours of incubation. Coating the culture surface with type I collagen improved islet viability to 60%, while modification with type IV collagen – the principal protein of the basement membrane – further enhanced survival to 89% [92].

Llacua et al. demonstrated that the addition of type VI collagen to alginate capsules positively influences

both the viability and functional activity of encapsulated human pancreatic islets *in vitro* [23].

Among biomimetic materials that replicate the composition of native ECM, particular attention has been given to collagen-containing hydrogels such as Sphero®GEL (AO BIOMIR, Russia), derived from natural compounds. This material has been successfully applied to the development of liver and cartilage tissue-engineered constructs [13, 93]. In a related study, Baranova et al. reported that rat islets cultured within a collagen-containing hydrogel remained structurally intact and free from degradation for 10 days compared to islets cultured in suspension [42].

Taken together, these findings confirm that collagen-containing scaffolds play a critical role in preserving the native architecture and functional integrity of islets, both *in vitro* and *in vivo* [13, 18, 23].

Gelatin, a water-soluble substrate derived from collagen hydrolysis, retains peptide sequences that promote cell adhesion and migration. Muthyala et al. demonstrated that incorporating gelatin into polymer scaffolds preserved the structural integrity and viability of mouse islets of Langerhans *in vitro* for up to 30 days, compared to scaffolds without gelatin [49].

Laminin, a structural non-collagenous glycoprotein of the basement membrane, interacts with all ECM components and plays a crucial role in modulating cell behavior. It influences cell morphology, proliferation, motility, and differentiation, thereby enhancing the survival and insulin-producing function of islet cells *in vitro* [19]. Sojoodi et al. reported upregulation of specific genes and increased insulin secretion in rat islets of Langerhans cultured for 7 days on laminin-coated scaffolds [20]. Similarly, Sigmundsson et al. reported sustained functional activity of both mouse and human islets incubated on $\alpha 5$ -laminin-coated membranes for 1–2 weeks. Notably, implantation of 110–150 islets on laminin-coated membranes under the renal capsule of T1D mice restored normoglycemia in 27% of animals within 3 days, in 68% by 7 days, and in 100% by 14 days [21].

Fibronectin is a non-collagenous ECM glycoprotein predominantly expressed in blood vessels, ductal cells of the developing mammary gland, and in the basement membrane. It plays a central role in cell adhesion, migration, proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis by directly mediating cell interactions. In tissue engineering, fibronectin is used as a component of the culture medium or as a substrate in cell culture, including islet cells, in order to preserve their viability and functionality. Incubation of human and rat islets with soluble fibronectin has been shown to enhance insulin secretion in response to glucose stimulation and to increase the expression of the t-SNARE proteins syntaxin 1 and SNAP-25 *in vitro* [22]. Similarly, Hamamoto et al. reported improved secretory function of islets after 48 hours of culture with fibronectin compared to standard culture conditions.

Notably, transplantation of islets preconditioned with fibronectin into rats resulted in decreased blood glucose levels and elevated plasma insulin concentrations within 2 weeks [94].

Thus, the use of fibronectin in pancreatic tissue engineering improves the preservation and function of islets *in vitro* and extends the viability of islet transplants *in vivo*.

Elastin is the principal fibrillar protein of elastic fibers in native tissue, providing mechanical strength, elasticity, resilience, and extensibility. Scaffolds composed of elastin and collagen have been shown to stimulate vascularization at extrahepatic transplantation sites in mice, thereby enhancing islet engraftment, survival, and function sufficient to restore euglycemia in diabetic recipients [90]. Modern strategies in pancreatic tissue engineering increasingly employ decellularized tissues enriched with elastin, elastin-containing synthetic biomaterials, and methods that stimulate *de novo* elastin synthesis [95].

In summary, scaffolds derived from natural materials, owing to their intrinsic bioactive components, are promising for use in pancreatic tissue engineering.

TISSUE-SPECIFIC SCAFFOLDS

Current research in tissue engineering is increasingly directed toward the development of scaffolds derived from decellularized tissues and organs [13, 19]. Decellularization is a multi-step process in which the cellular components of native tissue are removed while preserving the ECM architecture and composition [96]. This strategy enables the production of biomimetic ECM scaffolds with high biocompatibility, reduced immunogenicity, and structural and biochemical characteristics resembling native tissue, thereby providing a microenvironment close to the native one for recellularized cells.

Effective decellularization typically requires the use of combined approaches – integrating physical, chemical, and enzymatic methods of tissue processing (Table 2).

Among the physical methods of decellularization, the most widely used are freezing–thawing, perfusion, mechanical agitation, grinding, and ultrasonic exposure [13]. Freezing causes the formation of ice crystals within cells, leading to membrane rupture and subsequent cell lysis. However, this process can also damage ECM proteins; therefore, careful control of the cooling and thawing rates is required to regulate crystal size [97].

Cell lysis can also be achieved by applying direct pressure to the tissue, though this approach is effective primarily for organs with a less dense ECM organization, such as the liver or lungs. In addition, mechanical mixing methods – including rotation, rocking, or shaking – help to dislodge and remove cellular debris [62].

Physical methods alone are insufficient to ensure complete removal of cellular components from the tissue. Combining them with chemical and enzymatic techniques significantly improves decellularization ef-

Table 2

Tissue decellularization methods

Physical methods
Freezing/thawing
Mechanical grinding
Micronization
Mixing, rotation, shaking
Perfusion
Mechanical pressure
Ultrasonic exposure
Chemical methods
Ionic surfactants (SDS)
Nonionic surfactants (Triton X-100)
Zwitterionic (amphoteric) surfactants (CHAPS)
Acids (EDTA)
Alkalis (NaOH)
Hypotonic/hypertonic solutions
Enzymatic methods
Proteases (trypsin, pepsin)
Nucleases (DNase, RNase)

iciency. For example, surfactants are commonly applied to dissociate and dissolve cell membranes and residual debris.

Among the chemical methods of decellularization, the most commonly used surfactants are Triton X-100 (nonionic) and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) (ionic). Triton X-100 disrupts lipid–protein and lipid–lipid interactions while largely preserving protein–protein bonds, leading to cell separation and membrane lysis. Because of its relatively mild effect, it is often applied to tissues with high protein content, though caution is required when decellularizing tissues rich in glycosaminoglycans (GAGs) [64].

SDS dissolves both cytoplasmic and nuclear cell membranes well and can denature proteins by disrupting protein–protein interactions. However, prolonged SDS exposure may damage the overall structure of ECM [13]. Another chemical approach is osmotic shock, achieved by sequential exposure of tissue to hypotonic and hypertonic solutions. While this effectively induces cell lysis, it does not fully remove cellular debris [98].

The zwitterionic surfactant CHAPS (a bile acid derivative) acts by disrupting lipid–lipid and lipid–protein interactions, thereby lysing cell membranes. Due to its limited penetration capacity, CHAPS is primarily used for thin-layer tissues [96].

Because residual nuclear material can strongly bind to ECM proteins, enzymatic processing is often combined with chemical methods. DNases are widely used to degrade and remove nuclear remnants [62, 96]. Proteolytic enzymes are also employed, including trypsin (hydrolyzes proteins), elastase (degrades elastin), and dispase (cleaves type I/IV collagen and fibronectin). However, excessive enzymatic exposure may result in loss of ECM components such as collagen, elastin, fibronectin, and laminin [96].

Trypsin is frequently used in combination with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) to detach cells from the ECM. Yet, prolonged trypsin–EDTA treatment can significantly alter ECM integrity by degrading laminin, removing GAGs, and ultimately reducing the mechanical strength of the tissue [13].

When developing decellularization protocols, it is essential to account for all processing conditions, since physical methods may disrupt ECM ultrastructure, while chemical and enzymatic treatments can degrade ECM components or trigger reactions that alter its biochemical composition [96]. To obtain an optimal scaffold, it is also important to consider the structural characteristics of the native tissue, such as thickness, density, and the presence of fibrosis or lipomatosis, which depend on the individual characteristics of the donor [99]. Therefore, for each individual case of obtaining a tissue-specific scaffold, it is necessary to determine a special original protocol for effective decellularization.

Several studies have reported the generation of scaffolds through whole-pancreas decellularization (Table 3). However, major challenges remain, particularly in achieving uniform recellularization and restoring functional vascularization within these large scaffolds. As an alternative, researchers have proposed the development of injectable TEPCs derived from finely dispersed pancreatic ECM fragments that are recellularized with insulin-producing cells [63, 64, 99]. The availability of TEPCs with specific functional properties and the minimally invasive administration of such a construct make this approach promising for tissue engineering technologies [19, 100]. Moreover, by preserving the natural ECM composition, such scaffolds provide a biomimetic microenvironment for the recellularized islet cells, while complete removal of cellular material from the scaffold ensures low immunogenicity [15, 62, 96, 97].

The liver and pancreas share similar embryonic origins and possess comparable ECM components, including collagens (types I, III, and IV), elastin, laminin, fibronectin, and GAGs [105, 106]. Consequently, decellularized liver scaffolds have emerged as promising alternatives for developing TEPCs, providing a favorable microenvironment for insulin-producing cells. For example, Xu et al. demonstrated that scaffolds derived from decellularized whole mouse liver lobes supported long-term survival and functional maintenance of isolated mouse islets *in vitro* [54]. Similarly, Goh et al. reported successful colonization of decellularized mouse liver scaffolds with insulin-producing cell aggregates differentiated from human pluripotent stem cells [104].

The potential of scaffolds derived from other decellularized organs to prolong the function of insulin-producing cells has also been reported. Khorsandi et al. showed that a rat spleen-derived scaffold increased insulin secretion of MIN6 cells compared with conventional monolayer culture, identifying it as a suitable carrier for

Table 3

Examples of protocols for decellularization of pancreatic tissue

Human	Whole organ	Cold perfusion was performed sequentially using the following solutions: phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with heparin; Triton X-100 combined with ammonium hydroxide; DNase IV with magnesium chloride; PBS (for removal of remaining surfactants) [101]
	Fragments	Homogenization of pancreatic tissue, followed by centrifugation to remove insoluble fat. The tissue was then incubated in PBS and sodium deoxycholate, followed by treatment with PBS and an antibiotic/antimycotic solution to remove residual surfactants. The material was subsequently lyophilized and subjected to gelation [62]
		Mechanical grinding of pancreatic tissue with sequential treatment using hypotonic and hypertonic solutions containing SDS. This was followed by SDS treatment in the presence of PBS, and surfactant removal with PBS and an antibiotic/antimycotic solution [102]
		<i>For pancreases with lipomatosis:</i> Three cycles of freezing at -80°C and thawing at $+37^{\circ}\text{C}$ were performed. The tissue was then ground and treated with surfactant solutions (SDS and Triton X-100). Final rinsing was done using PBS and an antibiotic/antimycotic solution to remove residual surfactants [98, 99]
		<i>For pancreases with fibrosis:</i> The tissue was mechanically ground and subjected to sequential treatment with hypertonic and hypotonic solutions containing SDS. This was followed by treatment with SDS in PBS, and final surfactant removal using PBS with antibiotic/antimycotic agents [98]
Pig	Whole organ	Perfusion with sequential solutions: distilled H_2O , EDTA, and sodium azide; sodium deoxycholate, Triton X-100, and DNase. Cold perfusion using sodium deoxycholate, Triton X-100, and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride; distilled H_2O ; DNase I in Dulbecco's PBS supplemented with calcium and magnesium chloride. Final surfactant removal was achieved using distilled H_2O containing sodium azide [103]
	Fragments	A total of 8 decellularization protocols were tested, varying by temperature ($+4^{\circ}\text{C}$ vs $+24^{\circ}\text{C}$), washing agent (PBS vs $\text{NH}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$), and native tissue disintegration method (grinding vs cutting). Sequential treatment involving Triton X-100, $\text{NH}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and PBS; followed by $\text{NH}_3\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ washing; DNase incubation in PBS with calcium and magnesium ions; and repeated PBS washes [64]
		Tissue grinding; sequential treatment with hypotonic and hypertonic solutions containing SDS; subsequent treatment with SDS in PBS; and surfactant removal using PBS with antibiotic/antimycotic agents [13]
Rat	Whole organ	Perfusion via the pancreatic duct, gastric artery, portal vein, or splenic vein using the following sequence of solutions: Triton X-100 $\rightarrow$ SDS $\rightarrow$ Triton X-100 $\rightarrow$ DNase $\rightarrow$ PBS with antibiotic/antimycotic (for surfactant removal) [63]
	Fragments	Sequential perfusion using: Triton X-100 $\rightarrow$ SDS $\rightarrow$ Triton X-100 $\rightarrow$ PBS (for surfactant removal) [61]
Mouse	Whole organ	Grinding of fresh pancreatic tissue; sequential treatment with hypotonic and hypertonic solutions containing SDS; SDS treatment in FSB; surfactant removal with PBS containing antibiotic/antimycotic agents [42]
		Sequential perfusion using: SDS in deionized water; deionized water rinse; Triton X-100 in deionized water; benzonase solution; final wash with PBS containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotic/antimycotic agents for surfactant removal [104]
		Perfusion with double-distilled water, followed by freezing of the lipid bilayer at -80°C and thawing at room temperature. Subsequent perfusion was performed using PBS, Triton X-100, and ammonium hydroxide, with final washing in PBS to remove residual surfactants [55]

beta-cell transplantation [107]. A bioartificial pancreas generated from decellularized pig lung tissue seeded with human islets exhibited prolonged viability and insulin secretion *in vitro*, comparable to freshly isolated islets. This construct was recommended as a reliable platform for real-time drug screening [108].

The introduction of a hydrogel phase into the composition of TEPC can prevent sticking and rapid sedimentation of scaffold microparticles derived from decellularized pancreatic tissue [15, 62]. Recent approaches have focused on the development of hydrogels based on decellularized pancreatic tissue that are capable of polymerizing *in situ* under physiological conditions [109]. Hydrogels not only facilitate the delivery of ECM components and growth factors to insulin-producing cells within TEPC, but can also be applied for encapsulating islet cells or serve as bio-inks for bioprinting.

In addition, methods have been established for fabricating 3D macroporous spongy scaffolds from hydrolysates of decellularized tissues, with cryogenic structuring emerging as a particularly promising technique. In this process, the macroporous architecture is generated at subzero temperatures, where frozen solvent crystals function as porogens [110]. For example, Kim et al. produced a macroporous sponge material from decellularized pig kidney tissue by creating a chemically cross-linked cryogel followed by lyophilization [111]. This material was successfully applied both as a hemostatic sponge and as a cell carrier in tissue-engineered constructs using fibroblasts isolated from rat kidneys. Borg et al. showed that the interconnected macroporous structure of cryogels of varying sizes enabled uniform colonization by mesenchymal stem cells and immobilization of islets. The survival and functional activity of

islets seeded into cryogels were confirmed *in vitro* and *in vivo* following implantation in mice [112].

As shown by the data reviewed, the development of biocompatible and functional scaffolds based on natural ECM, possessing properties characteristic of the native pancreatic microenvironment, remains a pressing challenge.

CONCLUSION

The design of ECM biomimetic scaffolds that closely mimic the native microenvironment of insulin-producing cells holds great potential for improving the clinical outcomes of islet transplantation by prolonging cell viability and maintaining insulin secretory function both *in vitro* and *in vivo*. Various scaffold materials, derived from different sources, offer distinct advantages and limitations, underscoring the need for ongoing research to determine the optimal composition and architecture of scaffolds for TEPC formation and clinical translation.

Among the most promising approaches in regenerative medicine is the use of scaffolds generated from decellularized tissues, whose multicomponent composition closely resembles that of native ECM. Particularly noteworthy is the emerging technology of cryogenic structuring of decellularized tissue hydrolysates, which enables fabrication of highly biocompatible scaffolds with predefined shapes, optimal mechanical properties, and interconnected porous networks.

At the same time, the search for renewable and functionally active insulin-producing cells, capable of responding to fluctuations in the recipient's blood glucose levels, remains a priority. The synergistic integration of innovations in materials science and cell technologies will advance the effectiveness and accessibility of cell replacement therapies for type 1 diabetes, making them available to a broader patient population.

This research was supported by the Russian Science Foundation, Grant No. 25-25-00425 (<https://rscf.ru/project/25-25-00425/>).

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Dedov II, Shestakova MV, Mayorov AY, Shamkhalova MS, Nikonova TV, Sukhareva OY et al. Diabetes mellitus type 1 in adults. *Diabetes mellitus*. 2020; 23 (1S): 42–114. (In Russ.). doi: 10.14341/DM12505.
2. Norris JM, Johnson RK, Stene LC. Type 1 diabetes-early life origins and changing epidemiology. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2020; 8 (3): 226–238. doi: 10.1016/S2213-8587(19)30412-7.
3. Shapiro AM, Lakey JR, Ryan EA, Korbutt GS, Toth E, Warnock GL et al. Islet transplantation in seven patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus using a glucocorticoid-free immunosuppressive regimen. *N Engl J Med*. 2000; 343 (4): 230–238. doi: 10.1056/NEJM200007273430401.
4. Piemonti L. In: Feingold KR, Anawalt B, Blackman MR, Boyce A, Chrousos G, Corpas E et al editors. Islet Transplantation. *Endotext* [Internet]. South Dartmouth (MA): MDText.com, Inc. 2022; 2000. PMID: 25905200.
5. Gruessner AC, Gruessner RWG. The 2022 International Pancreas Transplant Registry Report – A Review. *Transplant Proc*. 2022; 54 (7): 1918–1943. doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2022.03.059.
6. Lablanche S, Borot S, Wojtuszczyk A, Skaare K, Penfor-nis A, Malvezzi P et al. Ten-year outcomes of islet transplantation in patients with type 1 diabetes: Data from the Swiss-French GRAGIL network. *Am J Transplant*. 2021; 21 (11): 3725–3733. doi: 10.1111/ajt.16637.
7. Hering BJ, Ballou CM, Bellin MD, Payne EH, Kandel F, Witkowski P et al. Factors associated with favourable 5 year outcomes in islet transplant alone recipients with type 1 diabetes complicated by severe hypoglycaemia in the Collaborative Islet Transplant Registry. *Diabetologia*. 2023; 66: 163–173. doi: 10.1007/s00125-022-05804-4.
8. Reid L, Baxter F, Forbes S. Effects of islet transplantation on microvascular and macrovascular complications in type 1 diabetes. *Diabet Med*. 2021; 38 (7): e14570. doi: 10.1111/dme.14570.
9. Langlois A, Pinget M, Kessler L, Bouzakri K. Islet Transplantation: Current Limitations and Challenges for Successful Outcomes. *Cells*. 2024; 13 (21): 1783. doi: 10.3390/cells13211783.
10. Olaniru OE, Persaud SJ. Identifying novel therapeutic targets for diabetes through improved understanding of islet adhesion receptors. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2018; 43: 27–33. doi: 10.1016/j.coph.2018.07.009.
11. Kahraman S, Okawa ER, Kulkarni RN. Is Transforming Stem Cells to Pancreatic Beta Cells Still the Holy Grail for Type 2 Diabetes? *Curr Diab Rep*. 2016; 16 (8): 70. doi: 10.1007/s11892-016-0764-0.
12. Abadpour S, Wang C, Niemi EM, Scholz H. Tissue Engineering Strategies for Improving Beta Cell Transplantation Outcome. *Curr Transpl Rep*. 2021; 8: 205–219. doi: 10.1007/s40472-021-00333-2.
13. Sevastianov VI, Basok YuB et al. Biomimetics of Extracellular Matrices for Cell and Tissue Engineered Medical Products. Eds. Victor I. Sevastianov and Yulia B. Basok. Newcastle upon Tyne, UK: Cambridge Scholars Publishing; 2023: 339.
14. Zhang Q, Gonelle-Gispert C, Li Y, Geng Z, Gerber-Lemaire S, Wang Y et al. Islet Encapsulation: New Developments for the Treatment of Type 1 Diabetes. *Front Immunol*. 2022; 13: 869984. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.869984.
15. Salg GA, Giese NA, Schenk M, Hüttner FJ, Felix K, Probst P et al. The emerging field of pancreatic tissue engineering: A systematic review and evidence map of scaffold materials and scaffolding techniques for insulin-secreting cells. *J Tissue Eng*. 2019; 10: 2041731419884708. doi: 10.1177/2041731419884708.
16. Ho BX, Teo AKK, Ng NHJ. Innovations in bio-engineering and cell-based approaches to address immunological challenges in islet transplantation. *Front Immunol*. 2024; 15: 1375177. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2024.1375177.

17. Basok YuB., Ponomareva AS, Grudin NV, Kruglov DN, Bogdanov VK, Belova AD, Sevastyanov VI. Application of mesenchymal stromal cells in solid organ transplantation: challenges and prospects (systematic review). *Russian Journal of Transplantology and Artificial Organs*. 2025; 27 (1): 114–134.
18. Amer LD, Mahoney MJ, Bryant SJ. Tissue engineering approaches to cell-based type 1 diabetes therapy. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev*. 2014; 20 (5): 455–467. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEB.2013.0462.
19. Santos da Silva T, Silva-Júnior LND, Horvath-Pereira BO, Valbão MCM, Garcia MHH, Lopes JB et al. The Role of the Pancreatic Extracellular Matrix as a Tissue Engineering Support for the Bioartificial Pancreas. *Biomimetics (Basel)*. 2024; 9 (10): 598. doi: 10.3390/biomimetics9100598.
20. Sojoodi M, Farrokhi A, Moradmand A, Baharvand H. Enhanced maintenance of rat islets of Langerhans on laminin-coated electrospun nanofibrillar matrix *in vitro*. *Cell Biol Int*. 2013; 37 (4): 370–379. doi: 10.1002/cbin.10045.
21. Sigmundsson K, Ojala JRM, Öhman MK, Österholm AM, Moreno-Moral A, Domogatskaya A et al. Culturing functional pancreatic islets on $\alpha 5$ -laminins and curative transplantation to diabetic mice. *Matrix Biol*. 2018; 70: 5–19. doi: 10.1016/j.matbio.2018.03.018.
22. Fernández-Montes RD, Blasi J, Busquets J, Montanya E, Nacher M. Fibronectin enhances soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive factor attachment protein receptor protein expression in cultured human islets. *Pancreas*. 2011; 40 (7): 1153–1155. doi: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e318222bcdf.
23. Llacua LA, Hoek A, de Haan BJ, de Vos P. Collagen type VI interaction improves human islet survival in immunoisolating microcapsules for treatment of diabetes. *Islets*. 2018; 10 (2): 60–68. doi: 10.1080/19382014.2017.1420449.
24. Surguchenko VA, Ponomareva AS, Efimov AE, Nemets EA, Agapov II, Sevastianov VI. Characteristics of adhesion and proliferation of mouse nih/3t3 fibroblasts on the poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) films with different surface roughness values. *Russian Journal of Transplantology and Artificial Organs*. 2012; 14 (1): 72–77. (In Russ.). doi: 10.15825/1995-1191-2012-1-72-77.
25. Mehdi Ebrahimi. Porosity parameters in biomaterial science: Definition, impact, and challenges in tissue engineering. *Front Mater Sci*. 2021; 15 (3): 352–373. doi: 10.1007/s11706-021-0558-4.
26. Sevastyanov VI, Kirpichnikov MP. Biosovmestimye materialy. M.: MIA, 2011; 544.
27. Johnson AS, O'Sullivan E, D'Aoust LN, Omer A, Bonner-Weir S, Fisher RJ et al. Quantitative assessment of islets of Langerhans encapsulated in alginate. *Tissue Eng Part C Methods*. 2011; 17 (4): 435–449. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEC.2009.0510.
28. Formo K, Cho CH, Vallier L, Strand BL. Culture of hESC-derived pancreatic progenitors in alginate-based scaffolds. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2015; 103 (12): 3717–3726. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.35507.
29. Köllmer M, Appel AA, Somo SI, Brey EM. Long-term function of alginate-encapsulated islets. *Tissue Eng Part B Rev*. 2015; 22: 34–46. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEB.2015.0140.
30. Li N, Sun G, Wang S, Wang Y, Xiu Z, Sun D et al. Engineering islet for improved performance by optimized re-aggregation in alginate gel beads. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem*. 2017; 64 (3): 400–405. doi: 10.1002/bab.1489.
31. Noverraz F, Montanari E, Pimenta J, Szabó L, Ortiz D, Gonelle-Gispert C et al. Antifibrotic effect of ketoprofen-grafted alginate microcapsules in the transplantation of insulin producing cells. *Bioconjug Chem*. 2018; 29 (6): 1932–1941. doi: 10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.8b00190.
32. Espona-Noguera A, Ciriza J, Cañibano-Hernández A, Fernandez L, Ochoa I, Saenz Del Burgo L et al. Tunable injectable alginate-based hydrogel for cell therapy in Type 1 Diabetes Mellitus. *Int J Biol Macromol*. 2018; 107 (Pt A): 1261–1269. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2017.09.103.
33. Kawazoe N, Lin XT, Tateishi T, Chen G. Three-dimensional cultures of rat pancreatic RIN-5F cells in porous PLGA-collagen hybrid scaffolds. *J Bioact Compat Pol*. 2009; 24: 25–42. doi: 10.1177/0883911508099439.
34. Jalili RB, Moeen Rezakhanlou A, Hosseini-Tabatabaei A, Ao Z, Warnock GL, Ghahary A. Fibroblast populated collagen matrix promotes islet survival and reduces the number of islets required for diabetes reversal. *J Cell Physiol*. 2011; 226 (7): 1813–1819. doi: 10.1002/jcp.22515.
35. Deng C, Vulesevic B, Ellis C, Korbitt GS, Suuronen EJ. Vascularization of collagen-chitosan scaffolds with circulating progenitor cells as potential site for islet transplantation. *J Control Release*. 2011; 152 (Suppl 1): e196–e198. doi: 10.1016/j.jconrel.2011.09.005.
36. Yap WT, Salvay DM, Silliman MA, Zhang X, Bannon ZG, Kaufman DB et al. Collagen IV-modified scaffolds improve islet survival and function and reduce time to euglycemia. *Tissue Eng Part A*. 2013; 19 (21–22): 2361–2372. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2013.0033.
37. Riopel M, Wang K. Collagen matrix support of pancreatic islet survival and function. *Front Biosci (Landmark Ed)*. 2014; 19 (1): 77–90. doi: 10.2741/4196.
38. McEwan K, Padavan DT, Ellis C, McBane JE, Vulesevic B, Korbitt GS et al. Collagen-chitosan-laminin hydrogels for the delivery of insulin-producing tissue. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2016; 10 (10): E397–E408. doi: 10.1002/term.1829.
39. Szebeni GJ, Tancos Z, Feher LZ, Alföldi R, Kobolák J, Dinnyes A et al. Real architecture for 3D Tissue (RAFT) culture system improves viability and maintains insulin and glucagon production of mouse pancreatic islet cells. *Cytotechnology*. 2017; 69 (2): 359–369. doi: 10.1007/s10616-017-0067-6.
40. Vlahos AE, Cober N, Sefton MV. Modular tissue engineering for the vascularization of subcutaneously transplanted pancreatic islets. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2017; 114 (35): 9337–9342. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1619216114.
41. Montalbano G, Toumpaniari S, Popov A, Duan P, Chen J, Dalgarno K et al. Synthesis of bioinspired collagen/alginate/fibrin based hydrogels for soft tissue en-

- gineering. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl.* 2018; 91: 236–246. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2018.04.101.
42. Baranova NV, Kirsanova LA, Ponomareva AS, Nemets EA, Basok YB, Bubentsova GN et al. Comparative analysis of the secretory capacity of islets of langerhans cultured with biopolymer-based collagen-containing hydrogel and tissue-specific matrix. *Russian Journal of Transplantation and Artificial Organs.* 2019; 21 (4): 45–53. doi: 10.15825/1995-1191-2019-4-45-53.
43. Yang KC, Wu CC, Lin FH, Qi Z, Kuo TF, Cheng YH et al. Chitosan/gelatin hydrogel as immunoisolate matrix for injectable bioartificial pancreas. *Xenotransplantation.* 2008; 15 (6): 407–416. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3089.2008.00503.x.
44. Kuehn C, Fülöp T, Lakey JR, Vermette P. Young porcine endocrine pancreatic islets cultured in fibrin and alginate gels show improved resistance towards human monocytes. *Pathol Biol.* 2014; 62 (6): 354–364. doi: 10.1016/j.patbio.2014.07.010.
45. Bhang SH, Jung MJ, Shin JY, La WG, Hwang YH, Kim MJ et al. Mutual effect of subcutaneously transplanted human adipose-derived stem cells and pancreatic islets within fibrin gel. *Biomaterials.* 2013; 34 (30): 7247–7256. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2013.06.018.
46. Kuehn C, Lakey JR, Lamb MW, Vermette P. Young porcine endocrine pancreatic islets cultured in fibrin show improved resistance toward hydrogen peroxide. *Islets.* 2013; 5 (5): 207–215. doi: 10.4161/isl.26989.
47. Niknamasl A, Ostad SN, Soleimani M, Azami M, Salmani MK, Lotfibakhshaiesh N et al. A new approach for pancreatic tissue engineering: human endometrial stem cells encapsulated in fibrin gel can differentiate to pancreatic islet beta-cell. *Cell Biol Int.* 2014; 38 (10): 1174–1182. doi: 10.1002/cbin.10314.
48. Seyedi F, Farsinejad A, Nematollahi-Mahani SN. Fibrin scaffold enhances function of insulin producing cells differentiated from human umbilical cord matrix-derived stem cells. *Tissue Cell.* 2017; 49 (2 Pt B): 227–232. doi: 10.1016/j.tice.2017.03.001.
49. Muthyala S, Bhonde RR, Nair PD. Cytocompatibility studies of mouse pancreatic islets on gelatin – PVP semi IPN scaffolds *in vitro*: potential implication towards pancreatic tissue engineering. *Islets.* 2010; 2 (6): 357–366. doi: 10.4161/isl.2.6.13765.
50. Kuo YC, Liu YC, Rajesh R. Pancreatic differentiation of induced pluripotent stem cells in activin A-grafted gelatin-poly(lactide-co-glycolide) nanoparticle scaffolds with induction of LY294002 and retinoic acid. *Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl.* 2017; 77: 384–393. doi: 10.1016/j.msec.2017.03.265.
51. Davis NE, Beenken-Rothkopf LN, Mirsoian A, Kojic N, Kaplan DL, Barron AE et al. Enhanced function of pancreatic islets co-encapsulated with ECM proteins and mesenchymal stromal cells in a silk hydrogel. *Biomaterials.* 2012; 33 (28): 6691–6697. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.06.015.
52. Shalaly ND, Ria M, Johansson U, Åvall K, Berggren PO, Hedhammar M. Silk matrices promote formation of insulin-secreting islet-like clusters. *Biomaterials.* 2016; 90: 50–61. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2016.03.006.
53. Kumar M, Nandi SK, Kaplan DL, Mandal BB. Localized immunomodulatory silk macrocapsules for islet-like spheroid formation and sustained insulin production. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng.* 2017; 3: 2443–2456. doi: 10.1021/acsbiomaterials.7b00218.
54. Xu T, Zhu M, Guo Y, Wu D, Huang Y, Fan X et al. Three-dimensional culture of mouse pancreatic islet on a liver-derived perfusion-decellularized bioscaffold for potential clinical application. *J Biomater Appl.* 2015; 30 (4): 379–387. doi: 10.1177/0885328215587610.
55. Wu D, Wan J, Huang Y, Guo Y, Xu T, Zhu M et al. 3D culture of MIN-6 cells on decellularized pancreatic scaffold: *in vitro* and *in vivo* study. *Biomed Res Int.* 2015; 432645. doi: 10.1155/2015/432645.
56. Abualhassan N, Sapozhnikov L, Pawlick RL, Kahana M, Pepper AR, Bruni A et al. Lung-derived microscaffolds facilitate diabetes reversal after mouse and human intraperitoneal islet transplantation. *PLoS ONE.* 2016; 11 (5): e0156053. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0156053.
57. Katsuki Y, Yagi H, Okitsu T, Kitago M, Tajima K, Kadohata Y et al. Endocrine pancreas engineered using porcine islets and partial pancreatic scaffolds. *Pancreatol.* 2016; 16 (5): 922–930. doi: 10.1016/j.pan.2016.06.007.
58. Zhou P, Guo Y, Huang Y, Zhu M, Fan X, Wang L et al. The dynamic three-dimensional culture of islet-like clusters in decellularized liver scaffolds. *Cell Tissue Res.* 2016; 365 (1): 157–171. doi: 10.1007/s00441-015-2356-8.
59. Wang X, Wang K, Zhang W, Qiang M, Luo Y. A bilaminated decellularized scaffold for islet transplantation: structure, properties and functions in diabetic mice. *Biomaterials.* 2017; 138: 80–90. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2017.05.033.
60. Wan J, Huang Y, Zhou P, Guo Y, Wu C, Zhu S et al. Culture of iPSCs derived pancreatic beta-like cells *in vitro* using decellularized pancreatic scaffolds: a preliminary trial. *Biomed Res Int.* 2017; 2017: 4276928. doi: 10.1155/2017/4276928.
61. Napierala H, Hillebrandt KH, Haep, N, Tang P, Tintemann M, Gassner J et al. Engineering an endocrine Neo-Pancreas by repopulation of a decellularized rat pancreas with islets of Langerhans. *Sci Rep.* 2017; 7: 41777. doi: 10.1038/srep41777.
62. Sackett SD, Tremmel DM, Ma F, Feeney AK, Maguire RM, Brown ME et al. Extracellular matrix scaffold and hydrogel derived from decellularized and delipidized human pancreas. *Sci Rep.* 2018; 8 (1): 10452. doi: 10.1038/s41598-018-28857-1.
63. Berkova Z, Zacharovova K, Patikova A, Leontovyc I, Hladikova Z, Cerveny D et al. Decellularized pancreatic tail as matrix for pancreatic islet transplantation into the greater omentum in rats. *J Funct Biomater.* 2022; 13 (4): 171. doi: 10.3390/jfb13040171.
64. Klak M, Łojczyk I, Berman A, Tymicki G, Adamiok-Ostrowska A, Sierakowski M et al. Impact of porcine pancreas decellularization conditions on the quality of obtained dECM. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021; 22 (13): 7005. doi: 10.3390/ijms22137005.
65. Kizilel S, Scavone A, Liu X, Nothias JM, Ostrega D, Witkowski P et al. Encapsulation of pancreatic islets within

- nano-thin functional polyethylene glycol coatings for enhanced insulin secretion. *Tissue Eng Part A*. 2010; 16 (7): 2217–2228. doi: 10.1089/ten.TEA.2009.0640.
66. Mason MN, Mahoney MJ. A novel composite construct increases the vascularization potential of PEG hydrogels through the incorporation of large fibrin ribbons. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2010; 95 (1): 283–293. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.32825.
 67. Lin CC, Anseth KS. Cell-cell communication mimicry with poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels for enhancing beta-cell function. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2011; 108 (16): 6380–6385. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1014026108.
 68. Hall KK, Gattas-Asfura KM, Stabler CL. Microencapsulation of islets within alginate/poly(ethylene glycol) gels cross-linked via Staudinger ligation. *Acta Biomater*. 2011; 7 (2): 614–624. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2010.07.016.
 69. Hume PS, Anseth KS. Polymerizable superoxide dismutase mimetic protects cells encapsulated in poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels from reactive oxygen species-mediated damage. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2011; 99 (1): 29–37. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.33160.
 70. Raza A, Lin CC. The influence of matrix degradation and functionality on cell survival and morphogenesis in PEG-based hydrogels. *Macromol Biosci*. 2013; 13 (8): 1048–1058. doi: 10.1002/mabi.201300044.
 71. Marchioli G, Luca AD, de Koning E, Engelse M, Van Blitterswijk CA, Karperien M et al. Hybrid polycaprolactone/alginate scaffolds functionalized with VEGF to promote *de novo* vessel formation for the transplantation of islets of Langerhans. *Adv Healthc Mater*. 2016; 5 (13): 1606–1616. doi: 10.1002/adhm.201600058.
 72. Bal T, Nazli C, Okcu A, Duruksu G, Karaöz E, Kizilel S. Mesenchymal stem cells and ligand incorporation in biomimetic poly(ethylene glycol) hydrogels significantly improve insulin secretion from pancreatic islets. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2017; 11 (3): 694–703. doi: 10.1002/term.1965.
 73. Knobeloch T, Abadi SEM, Bruns J, Petrova Zustiak S, Kwon G. Injectable polyethylene glycol hydrogel for islet encapsulation: an *in vitro* and *in vivo* Characterization. *Biomed Phys Eng Express*. 2017; 3: 035022. doi: 10.1088/2057-1976/aa742b.
 74. Smink AM, Li S, Hertsig DT, de Haan BJ, Schwab L, van Apeldoorn AA et al. The efficacy of a prevascularized, retrievable poly(D,L-lactide-co-ε-caprolactone) subcutaneous scaffold as transplantation site for pancreatic islets. *Transplantation*. 2017; 101 (4): e112–e119. doi: 10.1097/TP.0000000000001663.
 75. Marchioli G, Zellner L, Oliveira C, Engelse M, Koning E, Mano J et al. Layered PEGDA hydrogel for islet of Langerhans encapsulation and improvement of vascularization. *J Mater Sci Mater Med*. 2017; 28 (12): 195. doi: 10.1007/s10856-017-6004-6.
 76. Abazari MF, Soleimanifar F, Nouri Aleagha M, Torabinejad S, Nasiri N, Khamisipour G et al. PCL/PVA nanofibrous scaffold improve insulin-producing cells generation from human induced pluripotent stem cells. *Gene*. 2018; 671: 50–57. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2018.05.115.
 77. Chun S, Huang Y, Xie WJ, Hou Y, Huang RP, Song YM et al. Adhesive growth of pancreatic islet cells on a polyglycolic acid fibrous scaffold. *Transplant Proc*. 2008; 40 (5): 1658 doi: 10.1016/j.transproceed.2008.02.088.
 78. Mao GH, Chen GA, Bai HY, Song TR, Wang YX. The reversal of hyperglycaemia in diabetic mice using PLGA scaffolds seeded with islet-like cells derived from human embryonic stem cells. *Biomaterials*. 2009; 30 (9): 1706–1714. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2008.12.030.
 79. Li Y, Fan P, Ding XM, Tian XH, Feng XS, Yan H et al. Polyglycolic acid fibrous scaffold improving endothelial cell coating and vascularization of islet. *Chin Med J*. 2017; 130 (7): 832–839. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.202730.
 80. Kheradmand T, Wang S, Gibly RF, Zhang X, Holland S, Tasch J et al. Permanent protection of PLG scaffold transplanted allogeneic islet grafts in diabetic mice treated with ECDI-fixed donor splenocyte infusions. *Biomaterials*. 2011; 32 (20): 4517–4524. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2011.03.009.
 81. Daoud JT, Petropavlovskaya MS, Patapas JM, Degrandpré CE, Diraddo RW, Rosenberg L et al. Long-term *in vitro* human pancreatic islet culture using three dimensional microfabricated scaffolds. *Biomaterials*. 2011; 32 (6): 1536–1542. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2010.10.036.
 82. Liu L, Tan J, Li B, Xie Q, Sun J, Pu H et al. Construction of functional pancreatic artificial islet tissue composed of fibroblast-modified polylactic-co-glycolic acid membrane and pancreatic stem cells. *J Biomater Appl*. 2017; 32 (3): 362–372. doi: 10.1177/0885328217722041.
 83. Sevastianov VI. Cell-engineered constructs in tissue engineering and regenerative medicine. *Russian Journal of Transplantation and Artificial Organs*. 2015; 17 (2): 127–130. (In Russ.). doi: 10.15825/1995-1191-2015-2-127-130.
 84. Buitinga M, Assen F, Hanegraaf M, Wieringa P, Hilderink J, Moroni L et al. Micro-fabricated scaffolds lead to efficient remission of diabetes in mice. *Biomaterials*. 2017; 135: 10–22. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2017.03.031.
 85. Kumar A. Supermacroporous Cryogels: Biomedical and Biotechnological Applications. 1st Edition. USA: CRC Press. 2016; 480. doi: 10.1201/b19676.
 86. Lozinsky VI. A brief history of polymeric cryogels. *Adv Polym Sci*. 2014; 263: 1–48. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-05846-7_1.
 87. Lozinsky VI, Kulakova VK, Grigoriev AM, Podorozhko EA, Kirsanova LA, Kirillova AD et al. Cryostructuring of Polymeric Systems: 63. Synthesis of Two Chemically Tanned Gelatin-Based Cryostructures and Evaluation of Their Potential as Scaffolds for Culturing of Mammalian Cells. *Gels*. 2022; 8 (11): 695. doi: 10.3390/gels8110695.
 88. Bloch K, Lozinsky VI, Galaev IY, Yavriyantz K, Vorobeychik M, Azarov D et al. Functional activity of insulinoma cells (INS-1E) and pancreatic islets cultured in agarose cryogel sponges. *J Biomed Mater Res A*. 2005; 75: 802–809. doi: 10.1002/jbm.a.30466.
 89. Lozinsky VI, Damshkaln LG, Bloch RO, Vardi P, Grinberg NV, Burova TV et al. Cryostructuring of polymer systems. Preparation and characterization of superma-

- croporous (spongy) agarose-based cryogels used as three-dimensional scaffolds for culturing insulin-producing cell aggregates. *J Appl Polym Sci*. 2008; 108: 3046–3062. doi: 10.1002/app.27908.
90. Minardi S, Guo M, Zhang X, Luo X. An elastin-based vasculogenic scaffold promotes marginal islet mass engraftment and function at an extrahepatic site. *J Immunol Regen Med*. 2019; 3: 1–12. doi: 10.1016/j.regen.2018.12.001.
 91. Sevastianov VI, Grigoriev AM, Basok YuB, Kirsanova LA, Vasilets VN, Malkova AP et al. Biocompatible and matrix properties of polylactide scaffolds. *Russian Journal of Transplantology and Artificial Organs*. 2018; 20 (2): 82–90. (In Russ.). doi: 10.15825/1995-1191-2018-2-82-90.
 92. Pinkse GG, Bouwman WP, Jiawan-Lalai R, Terpstra OT, Bruijn JA, de Heer E. Integrin signaling via RGD peptides and anti-beta1 antibodies confers resistance to apoptosis in islets of Langerhans. *Diabetes*. 2006; 55 (2): 312–317. doi: 10.2337/diabetes.55.02.06.db04-0195.
 93. Sevastianov VI, Basok YB, Kirsanova LA, Grigoriev AM, Kirillova AD, Nemets EA et al. A Comparison of the Capacity of Mesenchymal Stromal Cells for Cartilage Regeneration Depending on Collagen-Based Injectable Biomimetic Scaffold Type. *Life (Basel)*. 2021; 11 (8): 756. doi: 10.3390/life11080756.
 94. Hamamoto Y, Fujimoto S, Inada A, Takehiro M, Nabe K, Shimono D et al. Beneficial effect of pretreatment of islets with fibronectin on glucose tolerance after islet transplantation. *Horm Metab Res*. 2003; 35 (8): 460–465. doi: 10.1055/s-2003-41802.
 95. Yeo GC, Mithieux SM, Weiss AS. The elastin matrix in tissue engineering and regeneration. *Current Opinion in Biomedical Engineering*. 2018; 6: 27–32. doi: 10.1016/j.cobme.2018.02.007.
 96. Mendibil U, Ruiz-Hernandez R, Retegi-Carrion S, Garcia-Urquia N, Olalde-Graells B, Abarrategi A. Tissue-Specific Decellularization Methods: Rationale and Strategies to Achieve Regenerative Compounds. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2020; 21: 5447. doi: 10.3390/ijms21155447.
 97. Rabbani M, Zakian N, Alimoradi N. Contribution of Physical Methods in Decellularization of Animal Tissues. *Journal of Medical Signals & Sensors*. 2021; 11 (1): 1. doi: 10.4103/jmss.JMSS_2_20.
 98. Ponomareva AS, Baranova NV, Kirsanova LA, Bubentsova GN, Nemets EA, Miloserdov IA, Sevastianov VI. Determining the optimal pancreatic decellularization protocol, taking into account tissue morphological features. *Russian Journal of Transplantology and Artificial Organs*. 2022; 24 (1): 64–71. doi: 10.15825/1995-1191-2022-1-64-71.
 99. Sevastianov VI, Ponomareva AS, Baranova NV, Kirsanova LA, Basok YuB, Nemets EA et al. Decellularization of Human Pancreatic Fragments with Pronounced Signs of Structural Changes. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2023; 24: 119. doi: 10.3390/ijms24010119.28.
 100. Sevastianov VI, Ponomareva AS, Baranova NV, Belova AD, Kirsanova LA, Nikolskaya AO et al. A Tissue-Engineered Construct Based on a Decellularized Scaffold and the Islets of Langerhans: A Streptozotocin-Induced Diabetic Model. *Life (Basel)*. 2024; 14 (11): 1505. doi: 10.3390/life14111505.
 101. Peloso A, Urbani L, Cravedi P, Katari R, Maghsoudlou P, Fallas MEA et al. The human pancreas as a source of pro-tolerogenic extracellular matrix scaffold for a new generation bio-artificial endocrine pancreas. *Ann Surg*. 2016; 264 (1): 169–179. doi: 10.1097/SLA.0000000000001364.
 102. Ponomareva AS, Kirsanova LA, Baranova NV, Surguchenko VA, Bubentsova GN, Basok YuB et al. Decellularization of donor pancreatic fragment to obtain a tissue-specific matrix scaffold. *Russian Journal of Transplantology and Artificial Organs*. 2020; 22 (1): 123–133. doi: 10.15825/1995-1191-2020-1-123-133.
 103. Elebring E, Kuna VK, Kvarnstrom N, Sumitran-Holgersson S. Cold-perfusion decellularization of whole-organ porcine pancreas supports human fetal pancreatic cell attachment and expression of endocrine and exocrine markers. *J Tissue Eng*. 2017; 8: 2041731417738145. doi: 10.1177/2041731417738145.
 104. Goh SK, Bertera S, Richardson T, Banerjee I. Repopulation of decellularized organ scaffolds with human pluripotent stem cell-derived pancreatic progenitor cells. *Biomed Mater*. 2023; 18 (2). doi: 10.1088/1748-605X/acb7bf.
 105. Song JJ, Ott HC. Organ engineering based on decellularized matrix scaffolds. *Trends Mol Med*. 2011; 17 (8): 424–432. doi: 10.1016/j.molmed.2011.03.005.
 106. Damodaran GR, Vermette P. Decellularized pancreas as a native extracellular matrix scaffold for pancreatic islet seeding and culture. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med*. 2018; 12 (5): 1230–1237. doi: 10.1002/term.2655.
 107. Khorsandi L, Orazizadeh M, Bijan Nejad D, Heidari Moghadam A, Nejaddehbashi F, Asadi Fard Y. Spleen extracellular matrix provides a supportive microenvironment for β -cell function. *Iran J Basic Med Sci*. 2022; 25 (9): 1159–1165. doi: 10.22038/IJBMS.2022.65233.14360.
 108. Goldman O, Puchinsky D, Durlacher K, Sancho R, Ludwig B, Kugelmeier P et al. Lung Based Engineered Micro-Pancreas Sustains Human Beta Cell Survival and Functionality. *Horm Metab Res*. 2019; 51 (12): 805–811. doi: 10.1055/a-1041-3305.
 109. Saldin LT, Cramer MC, Velankar SS, White LJ, Badylak SF. Extracellular matrix hydrogels from decellularized tissues: Structure and function. *Acta Biomater*. 2017; 49: 1–15. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2016.11.068.
 110. Lozinsky VI. Cryostructuring of Polymeric Systems. 50. † Cryogels and Cryotropic Gel-Formation: Terms and Definitions. *Gels*. 2018; 4: 77. doi: 10.3390/gels4030077.
 111. Kim JY, Sen T, Lee JY, Cho D-W. Degradation-controlled tissue extracellular sponge for rapid hemostasis and wound repair after kidney injury. *Biomaterials*. 2024; 307: 122524. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2024.122524.
 112. Borg DJ, Welzel PB, Grimmer M, Friedrichs J, Weigelt M, Wilhelm C et al. Macroporous biohybrid cryogels for co-housing pancreatic islets with mesenchymal stromal cells. *Acta Biomater*. 2016; 44: 178–187. doi: 10.1016/j.actbio.2016.08.007.

The article was submitted to the journal on 14.04.2025